RATES OF ALKYIATION OF METAL ENOIATES OF 2,6- AND 2,2-DIMETHYLCYCLOHEXANONE (1) Drury Caine and Byron J. L. Huff (2) School of Chemistry Georgia Institute of Technology Atlanta, Georgia 30332 (Received in DSA 5 June 1967)

We have determined the rates of alkylation of the potassium and lithium enolates of 2,6-(I) and 2,2-dimethylcyclohexanone(II), that is, III and IV. The relative reactivities of these species and the manner in which the change in metal cation influences them is of interest in connection with the general problem of alkylation of metal enolates of cyclohexanone derivatives.



The kinetic results (see Table I) demonstrate that the lithium enolate IV reacts faster than its isomer III with excess ethyl iodide in 1,2-dimethoxyethane (DME) by a factor of 1.6. However, with the potassium enolates in DMR and using **n-butyl bromide** as the alkylating agent, the order of reactivity is reversed and III reacts faster than IV by a factor of 2.8.

The enolate solutions were prepared by treating the ketones with trityl lithium or trityl potassium in DME, and these were assayed and the alkylation rates followed in a manner similar to that described previously for the lithium enolate of 2-methylcyclohexanone (3).

## TABLE I

## Rates of Alkylation of Metal Enolates of  $2,6$ - and



## 2,2-Dimethylcyclohexanone.

a. Initial molar concentration of reactants. b. Second order rate constants

in Liter/(Mole-sec). Each value is an average of two independent determinations.

C. Temperature maintained by a crushed ice-water mixture.

The specific alkylating agents were selected to give experimentally convenient alkylation rates. In all cases the calculated second order rate constants showed no significant variation over at least the first  $30\%$  of the reaction  $(4)$ .

With a given metal the rates and hence the free energies of activation for alkylagion with a particular alkyl halide are quite close for III and IV. In view of this it was felt that variations in ground state energy levels of the enolates could be partly responsible for the observed reversal in order of reactivity in going from lithium to potassium. Estimates of relative ground state stabilities of III and IV were obtained by treating a mixture of one equivalent each of I and II with one equivalent of trityl lithium or trityl potassium in DME, allowing equilibrium among the enolates to be established (5), and quenching the mixture with excess acetic anhydride  $(6, 7)$ . V.p.c. analysis of the enol acetate mixtures  $(8)$  thus produced indicated that for the lithium case the III/IV ratio was 70:30, while in the potassium case it was  $45:55$  (9) (the greater stability of the more substituted lithium enolate in relation to the potassium one agrees with previous observations (10)). Indeed, in each case there appeared to be a correlation between enolate stability and reactivity in the alkylation reaction (11).

Depending upon the steric factors involved, the alkylation of a metal enolate of a cyclohexanone derivative can occur via a stereoelectronically controlled axial attack or via a non-chair transition state which allows continuous p-orbital overlap (12). In a chair-axial **alkylation of IV, there exists a 1,3-interacticn between the approaching alkylating agent and a quasiaxial methyl group at C-2. Considering this factor alme IV wcsild be expected to be less**  reactive than III. It is true, however, that the difference might not be as large as expected. **The solvent shell-quasiequ&arial methyl interaction (13) in conformation IIIa causes III to**  exist to a substantial degree (ca 40% for both the potassium (13) and the lithium enolate (3) **in IME) in conformation IIIb having the C-2 methyl group quasiaxial. This species** would alao be relatively unreactive in a chair-axial alkylation (13), and its presence in equilibrium with **IIIa would lover the overall reactivity of III.** 

**Examination of models suggests that the steric factors that would be involved in a nonchair allgrlation of both confomsrs of III and of IV are quite similar. Thus, if this pathway**  alone were involved in alkylations of III and IV, reaction rates for the two enolates would be **expected to be very close.** 

The presence of the methyl group at C-6 in **III is an** additional factor which influences **the reactivity of III with respect to IV. The substituent could exhibit a rate retarding steric 1 effect or a rate enhancing electronic effect by increasing the nucleophilicity of the carbon atas undergoing reaction. The data in Table I imply that these two factors essentially balance each other. Iiowwer, the rate enhancing electronic effect appears to be slightly more important, particularly, in the potassium case.** 

**The combination of (a) an enhanced nucleophilicity of carbon, (b) a more favorable situation for chair-axial alkylation, and (c) ccmparable ground state energies for the enolates III and IV are the factors which appear to be responsible for the more rapid allrylatian of III in the potassium case. However, with lithium the first two factors noted above appear to be**  overshadowed by the higher ground state energy of IV so that it is more reactive than III.

**The most interesting feature of the data is that for both metals the magnitude of the**  steric factor when a chair-axial alkylation is considered **is apparently quite small. This suggests that the geometry of the trausition state for the alhylation closely resembles that of the metal enolate, and that a transition state involving non-chair alkylatioo is readily accessible or actually preferred,in these systems. Several other studies (12)** and, particularly **the work of Conia and Briet (14) on alkylations of potassium enolates of 2-alkyl-4-t-butylcyclo-** hexanones, afford support for this point. It is surprising that although lithium enolates are much less reactive than their potassium counterparts, the transition states appear to lie very close to the reactants in both cases. The exact explanation for this must await further details of the **mechanism of** alkylation reactions.

Knowledge of the rates of alkylation of III and IV may be useful in predicting hov polyalkylation influences product control in the alkylation of metal enolates of 2-methylcyclohexanone (6, 15). However, a number of factors in addition to the rates of reaction of III and IV must be considered in dealing with the polyalkylation problem. Further investigation of these is in progress.

## References and Rote8

1. This work **was** supported by a grant fran the Petroleum Research Fund of the American Chemical Society.

2. RASA Trainee.

- 3. D. Caine and B. J. L. Huff, Tetrahedron Letters, **4695** (1%).
- 4. An aliquot from the reaction of IV (M=Li) with ethyl iodide **was** quenched after apprcccimately **3C\$** reaction and the ketone composition was determined by v.p.c. using a 10 ft. X 0.25 in. column containing  $10\%$  silicone SE-30 on Chromosorb W. Using an authentic sample of 2,2dimethyl-6-ethylcyclohexanone (collected by preparative v.p.c. from the reaction mixture at infinite time) for comparison, it was found that at least 97% of the enolate consumed as determined by titration could be accounted for by the appearance of monoalkylated product. In a **similar** experiment involving ethylation of III (M=Li), again 9fi of the enolate consumed as determined by titration led to monoalkylated product. Therefore polyalkylation, 0-alkylation, and/or elimination in the lithium enolate alkylations is insignificant.

The butylations of the potassium enolates III (M=K) and IV (M=K) were examined in a manner similar to that described above. Using a 5 ft. X **0.25** in. column containing 1% SF-96 on Chromosorb W for the v.p.c. analysis, it was found that in both cases at least 9% of the enolate consumed as determined by titration could be accounted for by the appearance of monobutylated product. Thus, although side reactions are apparently sanewhat more important in the potassium than in the lithium enolate alkylations, the C-monoalkylation reaction is by far the predominant pathway in both cases.

- 5. In order to establish equilibrium smong the metal enolates, the solution of the lithium enolstes was refluxed for four hours and the solution of the potassium enolates was stirred for one hour at room temperature.
- 6. H. O. House and V. Kramar, <u>J. Org</u>. <u>Chem</u>., <u>28</u>, 3362 (1963
- 7. H. J. Ringold and S. K. Malhotra, <u>J. Am. Chem. Soc</u>., 84, 3402 (1962)
- 8. **The** en01 acetates derived from I and II were separated on a 10 ft. X 0.25 in. column containing 2C\$ Dow **550** silicone oil on Pirebrick. These compounds exhibited the expected spectral properties and gave acceptable elemental analyses.
- 9. The relative ground state energies of III and IV at the temperatures of the kinetic runs differ slightly from these values because of the higher equilibration temperatures.
- 10. D. Caine, <u>J. Org. Chem</u>., 29, 1868 (1964); H. O. House and B. M. Trost, <u>1bid, 30</u>, 1341 **(1965).**
- ll. In our studies on the,lithium enolates of 2-methylcyclohexanone (i) we found that the more substituted enolate, which is the more stable, exhibited an initial alkylation rate somewhat greater than its isomer (ref. 3). The data on III and IV suggest that steric and electronic factors should lead to a lower transition state energy for the more substituted than for the less substituted enolate of i, but that the difference in the transition state energies for the two species would probably not be as Large as the difference in ground state energies. Presumably as a result of association and unlike III and IV in the same concentration range, in DME, mixtures of lithium enolates of i do not exhibit first order kinetics in enolate anion; the order approaches one at low concentration and zero at high concentration. Apparently, the degree of association of metal enolates is a sensitive function of structure and differences in the extent of association of the more canpared with the less substituted enolate of i may, in part, account for the observed alkylation rate ratios.
- 12. See H. 0. House, "Modern Synthetic Reactions", W. A. Benjamin, Inc., New York **(1%5),**  p. 202f and references therein.
- 13. S. K. Malhotra and F. Johnson, <u>J. Am. Chem. Soc</u>., <u>87</u>, 5513 (1965).
- 14. J. M. Conia and P. Briet, Tetrahedron Letters, 2797' (1964).
- 15. Ref. 12, p. 192.